



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,266	09/25/2006	Guofu Zhou	US040180US2	1836
24737	7590	07/20/2009		EXAMINER
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BOYD, JONATHAN A	
P.O. BOX 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			2629	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		07/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/599,266	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ZHOU ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> JONATHAN BOYD	Art Unit 2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 September 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 September 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                      | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1668) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/2006   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to application number 10/599266 filed September 25th 2006. Claims 1-20 are currently pending and have been examined.

***Information Disclosure Statement***

2. Acknowledgment is made of Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Form PTO-1449 filed on September 25th 2006. The IDS has been considered.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 8-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zehner et al (WO 2003/044765) (herein "Zehner").

In regards to claim 1, Zehner teaches a method of activating a portion of an electrophoretic display (*See; page 55, third paragraph: "the areas of the image which need rewriting..."*), the method comprising: receiving drawing information; determining at least one drawing-mode waveform based on the drawing information (*See; page 55, third paragraph: fast updating for character input, slow updating for gray to gray transitions*); and addressing the portion of the electrophoretic display based on the drawing information and the drawing-mode waveform (*See; page 55, third paragraph:*

*"the areas of the image which need rewriting...").*

In regards to claim 2, Zehner inherently teaches wherein the received drawing information includes a keyboard input (*See; page 55, line 22 where character input is referred too, which typically comes from a keyboard*).

In regards to claim 3, Zehner inherently teaches wherein the keyboard input is received from one of a keyboard or a keypad (*See; page 55, line 22 where character input is referred too, which typically comes from a keyboard*).

In regards to claim 8, Zehner teaches wherein determining the at least one drawing-mode waveform includes: selecting the drawing-mode waveform from a set of stored driving waveforms based on the drawing information and a current optical state of at least one electrophoretic pixel in the portion of the electrophoretic display (*See; page 20, paragraph 3 where multiple waveforms are selected using lookup tables in dependence on the current optical state of the pixels*).

In regards to claim 9, Zehner teaches wherein the drawing-mod waveform is selected from a lookup table (*See; page 20, paragraph 3 where multiple waveforms are selected using lookup tables in dependence on the current optical state of the pixels*).

In regards to claim 10, Zehner inherently teaches wherein addressing the portion

of the electrophoretic display includes: applying the determined drawing-mode waveform a predetermined number of times to write an image onto at least one electrophoretic pixel in the electrophoretic display (*See; Page 21, last paragraph and page 55, third paragraph*).

In regards to claim 11, Zehner teaches wherein addressing the portion of the electrophoretic display includes: writing pixel data onto at least one electrophoretic pixel in the portion of the electrophoretic display (*See; Page 21, last paragraph*).

In regards to claim 12 and 17, Zehner teaches storing pixel information based on the received drawing information; and addressing the portion of the electrophoretic display based on the stored pixel information (*See; Page 21, last paragraph for repetitive addressing of the pixel using a counter for that specific pixel. The counter corresponding to "stored pixel information" and updated at each addressing period*).

In regards to claim 13, Zehner teaches wherein the stored pixel information includes at least one of the group consisting of a pixel index, a pixel color level, a pixel coordinate, and a pixel counter (*See; Page 21, last paragraph for repetitive addressing of the pixel using a counter for that specific pixel. The counter corresponding to "stored pixel information" and updated at each addressing period*).

In regards to claim 14 and 18, Zehner teaches updating the stored pixel

information when the portion of the electrophoretic display is addressed (See; *Page 21, last paragraph for repetitive addressing of the pixel using a counter for that specific pixel. The counter corresponding to “stored pixel information” and updated at each addressing period*).

In regards to claim 15, Zehner teaches addressing a first set of pixels in the electrophoretic display based on the received drawing information and the drawing-mode waveform; and addressing a second set of pixels in the electrophoretic display based on the received drawing information and a second drawing-mode waveform; wherein the second drawing-mode waveform is applied to the second set of pixels prior to completion of an image update for the first set of pixels (See; *Page 21, last paragraph for repetitive addressing of the pixel using a counter for that specific pixel. The counter corresponding to “stored pixel information” and updated at each addressing period. Also different pixels can be updated in an asynchronous manner, meaning that different pixels are in a different transition state*).

In regards to claim 16, Zehner teaches a system for activating a portion of an electrophoretic display, the system comprising: an electrophoretic pixel array disposed on a backplane; means for receiving drawing information; means for determining at least one drawing-mode waveform based on the drawing information (See; *page 55, third paragraph: fast updating for character input, slow updating for gray to gray transitions*); and means for addressing the portion of the electrophoretic display based

on the drawing information and the drawing-mode waveform (See; page 55, *third paragraph*: “*the areas of the image which need rewriting...*”).

In regards to claim 19, Zehner teaches an electrophoretic display (See, *Fig. 1, element 26*), comprising: an electrophoretic pixel array disposed on a backplane (*inherent*); a row driver electrically connected to a set of rows of the electrophoretic pixel array (See; *Fig. 2, element 22*); a column driver electrically connected to a set of columns of the electrophoretic pixel array (See; *Fig. 2, element 24*); and a controller electrically connected to the row driver and the column driver (See; *Figure 2, element 16b*); wherein the controller determines at least one drawing-mode waveform based on drawing information (See; page 55, *third paragraph*: *fast updating for character input, slow updating for gray to gray transitions*); and wherein the controller addresses a portion of the electrophoretic display based on the drawing information and the drawing-mode waveform to write an image onto at least one electrophoretic pixel in the electrophoretic display (See; page 55, *third paragraph*: “*the areas of the image which need rewriting...*”).

In regards to claim 20, Zehner teaches wherein the controller receives drawing information for the portion of the electrophoretic display (See; *Fig. 1 where the controller 16 receives drawing information for updating the display across data line 14*).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claims 4-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zehner et al (WO 2003/044765) (herein "Zehner").

In regards to claims 4 and 5, Zehner teaches character input (*See; Page 55, line 22*). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a drawing input or touch screen to teach character input.

In regards to claims 6 and 7, Zehner teaches character input (*See; Page 55, line 22*). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a pointer input and mouse to teach character input.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JONATHAN BOYD whose telephone number is (571)270-7503. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 6:00 - 4:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on 571-272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. B./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Amr Awad/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629